**ECE 2390/1390 Group Project**

**Group Name: BOKESWAP**

**Group Members:**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | Comments | Deductions |
| **Documentation** (15 points)   * Repository info readme are documented and kept updated (6pts) * Actual code is documented and easy to follow (6pts) * Any additional code is cited and proper licensing followed (3pts) | Really good documentation on code and organization of files inside GitHub. Some of the functions were more documented than others (a bit of inconsistency).  Missing a bit of documentation/license on tensorflow ML libraries | 14/15 pts |
| **Use of GitHub** (15 points)   * Code commits are incremental (5pts) * Code tests and benchmarks are noted (5pts) * Work is represented from all members (5pts) | Good use of git commits and comments. Mostly commit comments were meaningful . Benchmarks were added.  I would have liked to see the Readme updated as the progress progressed. Good use of git branches | 14/15 |
| **Project requirements** (10points)   * Did you incorporate learning from the course into your project? | Good use of class lessons | 10/10 |
| **Overall** (20 points)   * Challenge (10pts). Was the project appropriate difficulty? If the project was too easy, did the team add features? * Innovation (10pts). Did the team develop something novel? | I think you probably could have pushed a bit more challenge in the project. I appreciate that you added a GUI and the blurring code | 19/20 |

Total 57/60